

United States Patent and Trademark Office

ENITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Enited States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/654,745	09/04/2003	Mark Jackson	11-12767(56070)	5237	
27975 75	590 03/15/2005		EXAMINER		
	ER, DOPPELT, MILI	ALEXANDER, REGINALD			
	1401 CITRUS CENTER 255 SOUTH ORANGE AVENUE P.O. BOX 3791			PAPER NUMBER	
ORLANDO, F	L 32802-3791		1761	<u> </u>	

DATE MAILED: 03/15/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Applicati	on No.	Applicant(s)	<i> </i>			
Office Action Summary		10/654,7	1 5	JACKSON, MARK				
		Examine		Art Unit				
			L. Alexander	1761				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication a or Reply	ppears on the	e cover sheet with the	correspondence addres	s			
THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. It period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reperiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perior to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. 1.136(a). In no evepty within the stated will apply and wute, cause the app	ent, however, may a reply be t utory minimum of thirty (30) da ill expire SIX (6) MONTHS fror lication to become ABANDON	imely filed sys will be considered timely. n the mailing date of this commur ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	nication.			
Status								
1)[Responsive to communication(s) filed on							
2a)□	This action is FINAL . 2b) The	nis action is n	on-final.					
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Dispositi	ion of Claims							
5) 6) 7)	Claim(s) 1-29 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdown Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) 1-29 are subject to restriction and/or	rawn from co						
Applicati	on Papers							
9)[The specification is objected to by the Exami	ner.						
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.								
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
11)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the		- · ·					
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
a)l	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prapplication from the International Bure see the attached detailed Office action for a lie	nts have bee nts have bee iority docume au (PCT Rul	n received. n received in Applica ents have been receive 17.2(a)).	tion No ved in this National Stag	e			
Attachmen	t(s)							
	e of References Cited (PTO-892)		4) Interview Summar					
3) Inform	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 r No(s)/Mail Date	98)	Paper No(s)/Mail II 5) Notice of Informal 6) Other:	Date Patent Application (PTO-152)	, \			

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 1-04)

Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- Claims 1-12 drawn to a juice extractor, classified in class 99, subclass
 495.
- II. Claims 13-18, drawn to a beam lock for a juice extractor, classified in class 99, subclass 495.
- III. Claims 19-21, drawn to a lift assist for a juice extractor, classified in class99, subclass 295.
- IV. Claims 22-29, drawn to a method, classified in class 426, subclass 489.

 The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions I and II are related as combination and subcombination. Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2) that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP § 806.05(c)). In the instant case, the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed because it does not require a key member. The subcombination has separate utility such as with a juice extractor not having a plurality of orifice tubes.

Inventions I and III are related as combination and subcombination. Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2) that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP §

806.05(c)). In the instant case, the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed because it does not require a lift assist. The subcombination has separate utility such as with a juice extractor not having a plurality of orifice tubes.

Inventions II and III are related as subcombinations disclosed as usable together in a single combination. The subcombinations are distinct from each other if they are shown to be separately usable. In the instant case, invention II has separate utility such as with a juice extractor not having a plurality of orifice tubes. See MPEP § 806.05(d).

Inventions IV and I, II and III are related as process and apparatus for its practice. The inventions are distinct if it can be shown that either: (1) the process as claimed can be practiced by another materially different apparatus or by hand, or (2) the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process. (MPEP § 806.05(e)). In this case the process as claimed can be practiced by another materially different apparatus, such a juice extractor not having the specifics of that disclosed in the other inventions.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art because of their recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

A telephone call was made to the office of Chris Regan on March 9, 2005 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Art Unit: 1761

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Reginald L. Alexander whose telephone number is 571-272-1395. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Milton Cano can be reached on 571-272-1398. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

rla March 10, 2005

Reginald L. Alexander **Primary Examiner**

Art Unit 1761